Current approaches to the neural control of movement must account for the fusimotor system.

Ph.D. Student: Grace Niyo Faculty advisor: Francisco J. Valero-Cuevas Department of Biomedical Engineering University of Southern California

Other collaborators : Lama Almofeez & Junhyuck Woo

How does voluntary movements happen in the presence of muscle afferentation ?

Movement commands originate from brain to spinal cord, and to muscles which generate forces that drive limbs.



Proprioceptors: Muscle spindles and Golgi tendon organs provide proprioceptive signals to the CNS.



The activation of  $\alpha$ -motoneurons (MNs) is the result of the integration of thousands of synaptic inputs from cortical, propriospinal, and sensory signals (Pierrot-Deseilligny and Burke, 2005; Schieber, 2011;Loeb and Tsianos, 2015).



## Fusimotor system and spindle afferent



- Spindles encode muscle length and velocity signals(Gardon ,1994).
- Gamma efferent (γ-static & γ-dynamic) adjust the spindle sensitivity to changes in muscle length and velocities that occur during movement.
- Monosynaptic excitation drive to the αmotoneuron is the foundation of muscle tone and stretch reflexes (Liddell & Sherrington, 1924).

#### Spindle afferent and servo-control theory

#### Servo action (Marsden, Merton & Morton, 1972):

- Muscle spindles contraction due to reflexes or voluntary movements, via gamma MNs lead to afferent discharges from the sensory endings.
- This induce, via a spinal stretch reflex arc, contraction of the extrafusal (main) muscle.
- Automatic correction of unexpected changes in the load against which the muscle is working.



Can a servo-control perspective explain the role of spindle afferents during voluntary movements?

## Motivation & Goals

- Muscles are afferented and the sensory outputs flood the spinal cord.
- Therefore, afferent signals must be regulated in a context sensitive way that is useful for movement.

Demonstrate in simulation that modulation of gamma motoneurons suffice to enable voluntary movements in the presence of muscle afferent.

#### Approach

- 1. Simulate spindle afferent during movement
- 2. Test our hypothesis

Approach 1: Simulation of spindle afferent during movement

Goals:

- a. Simulate movements without afferentation
- b. Add a simple, constant spindle-like afference
- c. Quantify the effects of spindle afferent on limb kinematics

# Methods

## **Biomechanical Model**:

- Convert and modify Chan and Moran's SIMM model of macaque arm into MuJoCo model (Chan & Moran, 2006).
- 31-muscle *rhesus macaque* model with:
  - 7 DOFs
  - 5 segments: upper arm, ulnar side and radial side of lower arm, hand, and torso as the initial frame.



- Use Monte Carlo method to create 100 sets of feed-forward cortico-spinal activation (bell shape).
- 2-seconds MuJoCo simulation of the macaque arm model.

## Methods

• Spindle model



• Record eccentric length & velocity signals from each muscle, and the endpoint trajectories

#### Activation equation

$$a_m(t)_i = a_{ref}(t)_i * [1 + k * (l - l_o)_m + k' * v_m]$$

a<sub>ref</sub> : activation with no spindle afference
l<sub>o</sub>: initial muscle length
l: muscle length
v: muscle velocity

• Compute trajectory errors and terminal errors with respect to to the reference trajectories without afferentation.

## • Disruption in endpoint space trajectories

## **Results & Discussion**



- As expected, afferentation is an internal perturbation.
- However, sometimes, the perturbations are big or small.
- Therefore, the effects of afferentation are context-dependent like Shadmehr and Mussa-Ivalidi 's perturbation to curl fields (Shadmehr and Mussa-Ivaldi, 1994).

## **Results & Discussion**

#### • Trajectory & terminal errors w.r.t reference trajectories



• Overall, increase in spindle sensitivity to muscle stretch signals lead to increase in both trajectory and terminal errors

## **Current directions**

- Use more biologically realistic spindle models (Mileusnic et al., 2006)
- Test our hypothesis in approach 2:
- Demonstrate in simulation that modulation of gamma motoneurons suffice to enable voluntary movements in the presence of muscle afferent.

## Relevance of the work

- Understand and disambiguate the fundamental contributions of the spinal circuits to movements.
- This will allow us to understand the neural and neuromechanical contributors to versatile function, and how its disruption creates disability.
- Potential contribution to prostheses and assistive devices.

## Acknowledgements

Prof. Francisco J. Valero-Cuevas Darío Urbina-Meléndez Andrew Erwin , PhD BME/BKN 504, Fall 2021 Class Members of Valero Lab

# Thank you 🙂

Supplement materials

#### Motor unit and muscle action

- Transmission of action potential at the motor end plate cause release of neurotransmitter at the sarcolemma.
- Change in membrane electrical properties triggers release of Ca+ ions.
- calcium cause a conformational change in troponin and tropomyosin, thus exposing the active binding sites on the actin filaments.
- Myosin binds to the actin filaments
- Muscle contraction is a consequence of interaction between the actin and myosin filaments



#### Fusimotor system : Gamma Motor neurons & Muscle Spindles



Adapted from Principles of neural science, 7<sup>th</sup> ed

How should gamma motoneurons be modulated ? ( Alpha-gamma coactivation vs Independent control)

#### Alpha-gamma coactivation

- Muscle is stretched cause increase in la firing
- Activation contraction of muscle (muscle shortening) lead to spindle unloading.
- Simultaneous simulation of alpha and gamma MNs will prevent spindle from slackening



Adapted from Principles of neural science, 7<sup>th</sup> ed

#### Independent modulation

Loeb & Hoffer, 1981:

- Ia afferent behave differently depending on the contraction profile ( shortening or lengthening) of the muscle of origin.
- High activation of gamma MN during muscle contraction via shortening .
- Relatively little activation of gamma MN during muscle contraction via lengthening.